Alice, Bob and Circuit

## Task Review

- Original task
- $n$ persons, each with a name and a number.
- $m$ letters, each specified by a sender and a recipient's names, with the content of the sender's number.
- For each person, calculate the sum of the received letter contents (modulo $2^{16}$ )
- $n \leq 700, m \leq 1000$
- Communication style
- Alice knows the information of $n$ persons, and Bob knows that of $m$ letters. Each needs to send a binary string within $10^{5}$ bits to Circuit.
- Circuit has to use at most $2 \times 10^{7}$ binary logic gates to get the results.


## Subtask 1

- $n=1, m=0$
- No letters are sent. The result is simply 0 .
- 0 can be generated by a gate of operation=0.
- Or, 0 can be sent from Alice to Circuit.
- Expected score: 4 points.


## Subtask 2

- $n=1,0 \leq m \leq 1$
- If $m=1$, the answer is the number. Else, the answer should be 0 .
- Alice sends the number (16 bits).
- Bob sends m (1 bit).
- Circuit performs 16 AND operations, and then output.
- Expected score: 8 points.


## Subtask 3

- $n=1,0 \leq m \leq 1000$
- Answer is the number multiplies $m$.
- It is unnecessary to implement multiplication in circuit. Conducting addition for multiple times is enough for this subtask.
- Alice sends the number (16 bits).
- Bob sends $m$ bits (contents does not matter, only to let circuit() directly know m)
- Circuit performs $m-1$ times of addition
- Assuming each addition needs 80 gates, there are 80000 gates in total.
- Expected score: 12 points.


## How to implement addition?

- 1-bit adder
- Input 3 bits: a, b, c
- Output 2 bits: s1, s0 (the binary form of $A+B+C$ is $S 1$ S0)
- $\mathrm{SO}=\mathrm{a}$ XOR b XOR c
- S 1 = (a AND b) or ((a XOR b) AND c)
- 5 gates
- 16-bit adder
- Cascading 16 1-bit adders
- For the three inputs of the 1-bit adders, two of them come from input numbers, and the other is the carry bit from the lower position.
- The carry bit is initially 0 , and the overflowed carry bit is discarded (equivalent to modulo $2^{16}$ )
- At most 80 gates (with optimization chances)


## Subtask 4

- $n=26$, names appearing in order, no duplicate letters
- Means that Bob's input can be arranged as an $n \times n 0 / 1$-matrix
- Alice sends the 26 numbers in the order from a to $z(26 \times 16$ bits)
- Bob sends the 0/1-matrix ( $26 \times 26$ bits)
- Circuit performs AND and addition according to the matrix
- Needs $26 \times 26 \times 16$ AND-s and $26 \times 26$ additions, which is far below the limit of $10^{7}$ gates.
- Expected score: 24 points.
- Can obtain 36 points combined with Subtasks 1~3.


## Subtask 5

- Based on Subtask 4, but the names may not be ordered.
- Nevertheless, Bob knows all names.
- His input can still be arranged as an $n \times n 0-1$ matrix.
- If Alice and Bob follow the same order (e.g., lexicographical), numbers sent from Alice can still correspond to Bob's matrix.
- However, this order may be different from the order of answer output.
- Alice sends an extra $26 \times 26$ 0/1-matrix (where there are exactly one element 1 from each row and from each column), so that Circuit can rearrange the order of the answers.
- Expected score: 48 points.
- Can obtain 60 points combined with Subtasks 1~3.


## Subtask 6

- $n$ is not restricted to equal 26 , and may be any value no more than 30 .
- There is nothing special. You should pass this subtask unless your solution fails strangely.
- The original purpose to place a subtask of $n=26$ is to facilitate debugging.
- Expected score: 54 points.
- Can obtain 66 points combined with Subtasks 1~3, which is the maximal score achieved during the competition.
- There also exists another approach of $16 \times 19 \times n \times m$, where the names are sent from Alice and Bob to Circuit. This can also get 66 points.


## Subtasks 7~9

- Subtask 7: $n=676, m \leq 1000$, names are in order.
- Subtask 8: names may be out of order.
- Assuming we can solve 7 , then how to do 8 ?
- We need a method to adjust the output order.
- It is too inefficient to adopt an $n \times n 0 / 1$-matrix!
- Considering there are $n$ ! possible permutations for $n$ elements, the lower bound is to use $\log _{2} n!=O(n \log n)$ bits to encode a permutation, to be performed by Circuit
- We now provide a construction


## How to implement an $O(n \log n)$ permutation?

- For example, we need to rearrange 20 elements to the ordered state.
- Split the array into the upper and the lower halves, each with 10 elements.
- Swap the elements up and down, so that every pair of numbers with difference 10 appears one upside and the other downside.
- It can be proved that it is always possible to do so.

| 0 | 13 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 18 | 12 | 7 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 14 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 18 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 17 | 19 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 15 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 17 | 19 | 12 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| swap swap |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Every pair of numbers with difference 10 appears one upside and the other downside |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## How to implement an $O(n \log n)$ permutation?

- Recursively process the upper half and the lower half, so that the ones-place becomes all correct.

| 0 | 14 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 18 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 17 | 19 | 12 | 6 | 8 | 10 |$\longrightarrow$

Every pair of numbers with difference 10
appears one upside and the other downside

- Finally, swap the elements up and down, so that all elements become correct.

| 0 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 5 | 16 | 7 | 18 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 4 | 15 | 6 | 17 | 8 | 19 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
|  | swap |  |  | swap |  | swap |  | swap |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## How to implement an $O(n \log n)$ permutation?

- There are $n / 2$ chances of optional swapping before recursion and after recursion, respectively. We can use $n / 2$ bits each, to record whether to swap.
- It is similar when $n$ is odd. There are $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$ chances of optional swapping before and after recursion, respectively. Not going into details here.
- Let $T(n)$ denote the number of bits to encode a permutation of $n$ elements. Then $T(n)=2\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+T\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right)+T\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil\right)$. According to the master theorem, $T(n)=O(n \log n)$.


## Full-Score Algorithm

- Convert each person and each letter to a 4-tuple ( $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{T}$ ).
- A person with name $X$ and number $Y$ converts to ( $X, X, Y, 0$ ).
- A letter with sender $P$ and receiver $Q$ converts to ( $P, Q, 0,1$ ).
- (Assuming each string is converted to a 19 -bit integer.)
- Sort all tuples by U first then V.
- The sorting algorithm will be introduced later.
- Consider all tuples in order, maintaining a temporary variable C:
- When meeting an element of $\mathrm{T}=0$ (person), assign: $\mathrm{C}<-$ (W of the current element)
- When meeting an element of $\mathrm{T}=1$ (letter), assign: ( W of the current element) <- C
- At this point, all letters are assigned with the sender's number.


## Full-Score Algorithm

- Next, sort all tuples by V first then T (descending).
- Consider all tuples in order, maintaining a temporary variable $S$ (initially 0 ):
- At an element of $\mathrm{T}=1$ (letter), assign: $\mathrm{S}<-\mathrm{S}+(\mathrm{W}$ of the current element)
- At an element of $\mathrm{T}=0$ (person), assign: (W of the current element) <- S ; $\mathrm{S}<-0$
- At this point, all persons are assigned with the correct computation result.
- Sort all tuples by $T$ first then U .
- Now the first $n$ elements are persons.
- Finally, adopt the $O(n \log n)$ permutation to rearrange the persons into the order of Alice's input, and output the result.


## How to implement sorting?

- Conventional $O(n \log n)$ sorting (e.g., quicksort, mergesort, heapsort) cannot be directly implemented in circuits.
- But we can find that:
- Alice and Bob can sort their own elements in advance, respectively.
- Circuit only needs to merge two sorted arrays.
- We can use $O(n \log n)$ in-place merge.
- Note that the conventional $O(n)$ merging is still unimplementable in circuits.


## Final Algorithm

- Alice sends to Circuit:
- $n$ 4-tuples of persons, sorted by name U.
- The bits encoding the permutation which can rearrange $n$ persons from ordered by $U$ into ordered by Alice's input (i.e., output order).
- Bob sends to Circuit:
- m 4-tuples of letters, sorted by sender U.
- The bits encoding the permutation which can rearrange $m$ letters from ordered by $U$ into ordered by $V$.


## Final Algorithm

## - Circuit's computation:

- After receiving the 4-tuples, merge two sorted arrays (first $U$ then V ).
- Perform the first sequential scan, so that the letters are assigned with senders' numbers.
- Undo the previous merge (which can be implemented by recording whether each comparison leads to a swap).
- Apply Bob's permutation, to rearrange the letters from ordered by U into ordered by V.
- Merge two sorted arrays again (first U then T descending).
- Perform the second sequential scan, so that each person gets the correct result.
- Undo the previous merge.
- Apply Alice's permutation, to rearrange the persons into Alice's input order.
- Output the answer.
- Expected score: 100 points.


## Possible but Unnecessary Optimizations

- Constant propagation: e.g., 0 AND $x=0,0$ OR $x=x$
- "Undefined" propagation: e.g., undefined $\mathrm{XOR} x=$ undefined
- Dead circuit optimization: If the result of a computation gate is never used (directly or indirectly) by any output, the gate can be eliminated.
- Enable less thinking when writing code.
- Duplicate circuit optimization: Merge two computation gates with the same operation type and dependency gates.
- Help check for problems in the code.
- Reference answer needs about $10^{7}$ gates, or about $8 \times 10^{6}$ after optimization.

The End

