

## Devil's Share

You are given a number,  $x$ . The devil wants his share of the number. He will take the largest subnumber with  $\kappa$  digits. Minimize the devil's share by reordering the digits in number  $x$ .

Formally, you have at your disposal  $s$  ( $1 \leq s \leq 100\,000$ ) digits between 1 and 9, inclusively. Given an integer  $\kappa$  ( $1 \leq \kappa \leq s$ ), you are to create a number  $x$  using **all** the digits at your disposal, such that the largest length  $\kappa$  substring of  $x$  is as small as possible.

*Clarification:* A length  $\kappa$  substring of  $x$  is a base 10 integer comprising of  $\kappa$  consecutive digits of  $x$  in the very same order. There are  $s - \kappa + 1$  such substrings in number  $x$ .

## Input

The first line of input contains one integer  $\tau$  ( $1 \leq \tau \leq 100\,000$ ) - the number of test scenarios to analyse.

The description of  $\tau$  test scenarios follows. Each test scenario consists of two lines:

The first line contains one integer  $\kappa$  - the length of all the substrings to consider.

The second line contains 9 space-separated integers:  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_9$ , where  $D_i$  represents the number of digits  $i$  at your disposal. ( $0 \leq D_i, D_1 + D_2 + \dots + D_9 = s$ ).

The sum of  $s$  over all test scenarios will not exceed 1 000 000.

## Output

For each test scenario, print  $x$  - the number you created, on a separate line.

If there are several numbers  $x$  with the same smallest possible length  $\kappa$  substring you can output any of them.

## Subtasks

- (1)  $0 \leq D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4 \leq 3, D_5 = D_6 = \dots = D_9 = 0$ ,  $1 \leq \tau \leq 1536$ , scenarios will not repeat (13 points)
- (2)  $\kappa = 2$  (14 points)
- (3)  $D_3 = D_4 = \dots = D_9 = 0$  (29 points)
- (4) no additional constraints (44 points)

**Example(s)**

Standard Input	Standard Output
3	2313
2	62616236261623778899
1 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	623616236162361778899
7	
2 4 2 0 0 6 2 2 2	
7	
3 3 3 0 0 6 2 2 2	

*Explanation:*

There are three test scenarios to consider in the example.

In the first scenario  $\kappa = 2$  and you have to arrange digits 1233.

One optimal  $x$  is 2313, with the following length 2 substrings: 23, 31 and 13, the largest being 31. No other  $x$  has a smaller largest length 2 substring.

Another optimal  $x$  would be 3123, since its largest length 2 substring is also 31.

In the second scenario  $\kappa = 7$  and you have to arrange digits 11222233666666778899.

One optimal  $x$  is 62616236261623778899 with the largest length 7 substring 6261623.

In the third scenario  $\kappa = 7$  and you have to arrange digits 1112223336666666778899.

One optimal  $x$  is 623616236162361778899 with the largest length 7 substring 6236177.