

## Problem K. Twin Spanning Trees

*tarjen* is playing a game of combining two trees into one graph.

He took two trees on  $n$  vertices and combined them into an undirected unweighted graph  $G = (V, E)$  with  $n$  vertices and  $m = 2n - 2$  edges.

But now he cannot decompose the graph back into two trees — please help him!

Formally, partition all edges into exactly two sets  $T$  and  $E \setminus T$  such that both  $T$  and  $E \setminus T$  form spanning trees of  $G$ .

You may output any valid partition. It is guaranteed that a valid partition exists.

The graph may contain parallel edges but no self-loops.

### Input

The first line contains an integer  $t$  ( $1 \leq t \leq 5000$ ) — the number of test cases.

For each test case:

The first line contains an integer  $n$  ( $2 \leq n \leq 5000$ ) — the number of vertices.

The next  $2n - 2$  lines each contain two integers  $u, v$  ( $1 \leq u, v \leq n$ ,  $u \neq v$ ), describing an edge. Edges are numbered from 1 to  $2n - 2$  in input order.

The sum of  $n$  over all test cases does not exceed 10000.

### Output

For each test case, output  $n - 1$  integers in ascending order — the edge indices included in the first spanning tree. The remaining  $n - 1$  edges must also form a spanning tree.

### Example

standard input	standard output
2	1 2
3	1 2 3
1 2	
2 3	
1 3	
1 2	
4	
1 2	
2 3	
3 4	
1 4	
1 3	
2 4	

### Note

First test case: edges  $\{1, 2\}$  correspond to  $\{(1, 2), (2, 3)\}$ , forming a spanning tree. The remaining edges  $\{3, 4\}$  correspond to  $\{(1, 3), (1, 2)\}$ , also forming a spanning tree.

Second test case: edges  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  correspond to  $\{(1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 4)\}$ , forming a spanning tree. The remaining edges  $\{4, 5, 6\}$  correspond to  $\{(1, 4), (1, 3), (2, 4)\}$ , also forming a spanning tree.