



## Problem Tutorial: "Hit"

Let's start with placing points so that each segment contains at least one point greedily: while there's at least one uncovered segment, find the one with the smallest  $r_i$  and place a point at  $r_i$ . Suppose that the largest number of points inside one of the given segments is t in this placement.

It turns out that the answer is either t or t-1. Indeed, consider points  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_t$  inside the segment  $[l_i, r_i]$  with the largest number of points. Consider the rightmost point with coordinate less than  $l_i$  in the optimal placement. Then the next point to the right of it has to be at coordinate at most  $x_2$ , the second next point has to be at coordinate at most  $x_3, \ldots$ , the t-1-th next point has to be at coordinate at most  $x_t$ . Hence, segment  $[l_i, r_i]$  will contain at least t-1 points.

It remains to check if the answer is t - 1. Let's compress the ends of segments and go through points from right to left. For each point x, let's answer the following question: if this point is included into the set, is it possible to include some points with coordinates more than x so that each segment with  $r_i \ge x$ contains at least one point, and each segment contains at most t - 1 points? We'll call points satisfying this condition *legal*.

For each point x, let  $w_x$  be the rightmost legal point such that there are no segments strictly inside  $[x, w_x]$ . To check the condition for a particular point x, let's start with finding  $w_x$ . After that, find  $y = w(w(\ldots w(x) \ldots))$  (t - 1 times). If there exists a segment containing both points x and y, this segment would contain t points if point x was placed, thus, point x is illegal. Otherwise, point x is legal.

Finally, if the point to the left of all segments is legal, we can form a sequence of legal points that is a valid solution for t - 1, otherwise, the answer is t and we output the initial greedy placement.