## Code With No Forces

| Input file: | standard input |
| :--- | :--- |
| Output file: | standard output |
| Time limit: | 3 seconds |
| Memory limit: | 512 megabytes |

Preparing a reliable programming contest is tricky work. Sometimes, you can make the test set huge (e.g., wrong answer on test 400) instead of guessing how participants will solve the problems. However, huge test sets could be a critical burden to the poorly implemented contest platforms. If the judging process is not parallelled well, the flow time of submission is unacceptable, and you will receive countless complaints about it.

The problem you've made contains $n$ test cases, and there are $m$ test solutions written by different testers that you can believe are the most typical solutions. To avoid long judging processes, you want to prune the test set by deleting some test cases and reordering the remaining if needed. After that, it's required to keep the results of every test solution remain unchanged. Your goal is to keep the least number of tests at last.

Take the following snapshot from the contest preparing platform, Polygon, as an example.

| \# | aho_tle.cpp | hash_1e18.cpp | hash_1e9.cpp | hash_kmp.cpp | hash_overflow.cpp | std.cpp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | OK 0/34 | OK 15/1 | OK $0 / 1$ | OK $0 / 4$ | OK $0 / 1$ | OK 0/36 |
| 2 | OK 0/34 | OK $0 / 1$ | OK 15/1 | OK $15 / 4$ | OK $0 / 1$ | OK 0/36 |
| 3 | OK 0/34 | OK 15/1 | OK $0 / 1$ | OK $0 / 4$ | OK 15/1 | OK 0/36 |
| 4 | OK 0/34 | OK 0/1 | OK $0 / 1$ | OK $0 / 4$ | OK 15/1 | OK 0/36 |
| 5 | OK 0/34 | OK $0 / 1$ | OK 15/1 | OK $0 / 4$ | OK $0 / 1$ | OK 0/36 |
| 6 | OK 0/34 | OK $0 / 1$ | OK $0 / 1$ | OK $0 / 4$ | OK $0 / 1$ | OK 0/36 |
| 7 | OK 0/34 | OK $0 / 1$ | OK $0 / 1$ | OK 15/4 | OK $0 / 1$ | OK 0/36 |
| 8 | OK $46 / 34$ | OK $78 / 1$ | OK 78/1 | OK $234 / 4$ | OK $30 / 1$ | OK $31 / 36$ |
| 9 | OK $31 / 35$ | OK $592 / 1$ | OK $499 / 1$ | OK $389 / 4$ | OK $390 / 1$ | OK $46 / 36$ |
| 10 | OK $93 / 34$ | OK 265/1 | OK $217 / 1$ | OK $249 / 4$ | WA $140 / 1$ | OK $77 / 36$ |
| 11 | OK $93 / 34$ | OK $265 / 1$ | OK 233/1 | OK $249 / 4$ | WA $140 / 1$ | OK $62 / 36$ |
| 12 | OK 779 / 34 | OK $2932 / 1$ | OK 2433/1 | OK 1730 / 399 | OK 2089/1 | OK 561/36 |
| 13 | OK $811 / 34$ | OK $3119 / 1$ | OK 2589/1 | OK 1809 / 397 | OK 2245/1 | OK 514/36 |
| 14 | OK $811 / 34$ | OK 2964/1 | OK 2308/1 | OK $1731 / 390$ | OK 2028/1 | OK 483/36 |
| 15 | OK 109/35 | OK $296 / 4$ | OK $249 / 4$ | OK $312 / 6$ | OK $140 / 3$ | OK $78 / 36$ |
| 16 | OK $78 / 35$ | OK $389 / 2$ | OK $373 / 2$ | OK $358 / 5$ | OK $280 / 2$ | OK $93 / 36$ |
| 17 | TL 5000 / 34 | OK 140/1 | OK 109 / 1 | OK $280 / 6$ | OK $62 / 1$ | OK $78 / 36$ |
| 18 | OK $93 / 35$ | OK 171/3 | OK 155/3 | OK $280 / 6$ | OK $124 / 3$ | OK 124/36 |
| 19 | OK $93 / 35$ | OK $202 / 3$ | OK $202 / 3$ | OK $327 / 6$ | OK $93 / 3$ | OK 109 / 36 |
| 20 | OK $124 / 35$ | OK $124 / 2$ | WA $124 / 2$ | OK $265 / 5$ | OK $77 / 2$ | OK 109 / 36 |
| 21 | OK $124 / 35$ | OK 155/2 | WA $156 / 2$ | OK $249 / 5$ | OK $78 / 2$ | OK $140 / 36$ |
| $\Sigma$ passed tests | 20 | 21 | 19 | 21 | 19 | 21 |

Test solutions for Last Warning Of Competition Finance Officer, from the 2022 Shanghai Collegiate Programming Contest

The input data (See section Input) is given as the sheet above. For each solution, it will have a result with its verdict, running time and memory used on each test. The verdicts you should care about include the following, which are all common verdicts except compile error.

- OK: correct
- WA: wrong answer
- TL: time limit exceeded
- ML: memory limit exceeded
- RE: runtime error

After testing on a specific data set, the result including a representing verdict, a peak running time and a peak memory used will be returned to the participant. However, participants will not have information after the first failed test:

- When a solution passes all tests (correct on all test cases), the maximum time and memory will be shown with the correct verdict.
- Otherwise, the first failed test's verdict decides the verdict of the solution, and the maximum time and memory from the first test to the first failed test will be shown.

We can conclude from the above sheet that the verdicts of each solution are shown as follows.

| Test Solution | Result |
| :---: | :---: |
| aho_tle.cpp | time limit exceeded $5000 \mathrm{~ms} / 35 \mathrm{MB}$ |
| hash_1e18.cpp | correct $3119 \mathrm{~ms} / 4 \mathrm{MB}$ |
| hash_1e9.cpp | wrong answer $2589 \mathrm{~ms} / 4 \mathrm{MB}$ |
| hash_kmp.cpp | correct $1809 \mathrm{~ms} / 399 \mathrm{MB}$ |
| hash_overflow.cpp | wrong answer $390 \mathrm{~ms} / 1 \mathrm{MB}$ |
| std.cpp | correct $561 \mathrm{~ms} / 36 \mathrm{MB}$ |

To reduce the size of the test set, you can delete some tests, reorder the remaining ones, and make the results (verdicts, time, memory) of all tester solutions unchanged. Take the above sheet as an example:

- You can keep cases $9,17,10,15,13,20,12$ to satisfy the requirement, and it can be shown to be an optimal solution.
- You can reorder the cases if you like to - $9,17,10,15,13,12,20$ is also valid. However, swapping 9 and 17 is not valid since the solution 'aho_tle.cpp' will have a smaller memory cost.

You are given a test result sheet. Find an optimal way to reduce the test set so that the number of tests remaining is minimum.
Note that if a program is not tested on any test case, the status is undefined and not equal to any valid result, instead of 'correct $0 \mathrm{~ms} / 0 \mathrm{MB}$ ' on some online judges.

## Input

The first line contains two integers $n$ and $m(1 \leq n \leq 400,1 \leq m \leq 6)$, denoting the size of the test set and the number of tester solutions.

In the following $n$ lines, the $i$-th line contains $m$ strings separated by spaces, denoting the verdicts of the $m$ test solutions of the $i$-th tests. The string is in the format '\{verdict\}, \{time cost\}/\{memory cost\}', where the time cost and memory cost are integers, in milliseconds and megabytes, respectively.
It's guaranteed that:

- verdict $\in\{0 \mathrm{OK}, \mathrm{WA}, \mathrm{TL}, \mathrm{ML}, \mathrm{RE}\}$.
- $0 \leq$ time cost, memory cost $\leq 10000$.
- All results with verdict = TL has an equal maximum value of time cost that is higher than those with different verdicts.
- All results with verdict = ML has an equal maximum value of memory cost that is higher than those with different verdicts.


## Output

In the first line, print a single integer $|S|$ denoting the minimum size of the test set satisfying the requirements mentioned above. Note that for a correct answer, $1 \leq|S| \leq n$ must hold.

In the second line, print $|S|$ integers separated by spaces, denoting the indices sequence $S$ of tests that the new test set you constructed is in such order.

## Examples

| standard input | standard output |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 23 & & \\ \mathrm{OK}, 1 / 1 & \mathrm{OK}, 2 / 1 & \mathrm{OK}, 2 / 2 \\ \mathrm{WA}, 1 / 1 & \mathrm{OK}, 1 / 1 & \mathrm{TL}, 1000 / 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 2 & \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & \text { OK, 1/1 OK,2/1 OK,1/2 } \\ & \text { OK,3/3 OK,1/2 OK,114/514 } \\ & \text { WA,999/999 TL, 3000/2 ML, 999/1024 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ |

## Note

In sample case 3 , you can find that the runtime error is reported by test 4 instead of 2 originally, but it's fine as long as the verdict is the same. The fifth test case is not useful even with corresponding verdicts on every solution, because it has a higher memory and time than the final result of each solution.

